

Abstract

This study proposes a distribution channel strategy model based on the notion that distribution channel strategy is the basic determinants of distribution channel performance. Its major objective is to assist manufacturers in making effective decision on distribution channel strategy (channel selection and channel management).

The proposed model integrates two strategic components: **a) distribution channel selection**—the manufacturer's choice of distribution intensity, channel location characteristics, channel control, and channel risk, and **b) distribution channel management**—the channel service quality. The model tests how the two strategic components affect distribution channel performances that finally lead to firm's success.

The empirical test of the proposed model is conducted using descriptive research. Data are collected during September 2003 – February 2004 with self-administered questionnaires in food industry by randomly selecting small firms 2,500 sample from 5,836 elements listed in 2003 by Ministry of Commerce and Industry; and using the whole population of 498 medium and large enterprises. The 520 returned questionnaires providing 396 usable in which accounting for 20.8% of total responses are tested by t-value at alpha = .05. The results show no significance different between early and late responses. On the other hand, significant difference exists between small firms versus medium and large firms, leading to overall firms and subgroup comparison. The model fit, variable relationship and hypothesis testing are evaluated by Hierarchical Stepwise Regression together with Structure Equation Model (SEM). Interestingly, the results of Regression are consistent with those of Structure Equation Model, indicating that the proposed model is powerful.

The study generates three important findings: **First**, distribution channel strategy (subsequently, the channel control, channel service quality, channel payment term, channel investment, and location characteristics) are all critical factors affecting channel performance, except distribution intensity. However, the ANOVA results show that distribution intensity positively influences channel performance at a certain level, then its relationship becomes negative.

Second, channel performances (channel effectiveness, superior channel effectiveness, and superior channel efficiency) have positive relationship with firm's success (external and internal outcomes). Such findings confirm that a new route of causal-effect relationship exists as channel strategy and firm success is mediated by channel performance.

Third, subgroup analysis finds significant impacts of firm sizes on distribution channel strategy. Channel control and superior channel effectiveness are more critical to small firms; whereas, channel service quality and channel effectiveness are more critical to large enterprises.

In addition, this study provides managerial implications essential for firms to strengthen their distribution channel strategy by order of importance based on the results of analysis as follows: **a) to increase channel effectiveness**, firms should put more efforts into channel control [$\beta = .224$, $p < .01$] and channel service quality [$\beta = .220$, $p < .01$], **b) to increase channel efficiency**, firms should focus on channel control [$\beta = .198$, $p < .01$], channel payment term [$\beta = .192$, $p < .01$], channel service quality [$\beta = .180$, $p < .01$], and decrease unnecessary channel investment [$\beta = -.136$, $p < .05$], **c) to enhance superior channel effectiveness**, firms should improve channel control

$[\beta = .349, p < .01]$, channel service quality $[\beta = .141, p < .05]$, channel location characteristics $[\beta = .106, p < .05]$, and channel payment term $[\beta = .101, p < .05]$, and **d) to enhance superior channel efficiency**, firms should pay more attention to channel control $[\beta = .208, p < .01]$, channel service quality $[\beta = .196, p < .01]$ and channel payment term $[\beta = .141, p < .01]$.

At the same time, to enhance firm's success firms need to improve its channel performance by order of importance: **a) to increase internal outcome**, firms should focus most on channel effectiveness $[\beta = .287, p < .01]$, followed by superior channel effectiveness $[\beta = .273, p < .01]$, and superior channel efficiency $[\beta = .169, p < .01]$, **b) to increase external outcome**, firms should pursue more in superior channel effectiveness $[\beta = .254, p < .01]$, channel effectiveness $[\beta = .258, p < .01]$, and superior channel efficiency $[\beta = .112, p < .05]$ respectively.

The results of this study also suggest directions for policy implementation to support small and large firms as follows: **1)** Improve the managerial competency by providing the distribution strategic knowledge and essential information assisting in increasing competitiveness and ability to keep up with the changes in the world. **2)** Provide directions for better marketing support for small and large food business growth. **3)** Provide financial support in the way of being convenient, fast, and sufficient to serve the needs of businesses, especially for strengthening their channel distribution system. **4)** Provide advanced research and educational opportunity focusing on distribution channel strategy as a new critical area for increasing businesses; in particular SME's competitiveness, sustainability, and dependability in the long-run.

Finally, this study contributes theoretical and methodological implications differently from previous studies at least in four folds: **1)** Broadens concept of channel selection by adding new critical factors to help better channel choice's decision. **2)** Develops four new measures—channel intensity is newly evaluated in a more effective way leading to increase reliability, channel performance is measured using macroperspective view including both channel efficiency and effectiveness together with its superiority, channel risk is examined in terms of cost involved in that channel (investment and payment term) rather than in terms of economic and political instability which have fewer business contribution, and firm's success is assessed by both internal and external outcome, contrary to previous research that commonly uses only one dimension. **3)** Develops a new construct—channel service quality which becomes the most critical factor to improve consumers' satisfaction and firms' competitive advantage at present and in the future. **4)** Employs multi-data collection and multi-variate analysis in terms of quantitative and qualitative methods, especially the ideas and suggestion from industry experts to find out the powerful model, more reliable, and meaningful results including worthwhile applications for various related industries.

Even this study has provided much theoretical and managerial contribution for strengthening channel strategy in Thailand, limitations still exist. To get more precise information and better implications, future research should replicate the proposed model to test for generalizability of the theory across industries and countries varied by firm sizes or situation specifics if possible (in particular those providing opportunity for competitiveness).

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my sincere thanks and appreciation to Associate Professor Dr. Somdee Hongphisanvivat, Department of Marketing, Faculty of Business Administration, Thammasat University, for her time, her full support and guidance throughout my research studies, and without whom I never would have made this far. Her professional judgments and advices have contributed immensely to the completion of the study.

My sincere thanks and appreciation to committee members: Associate Professor Dr. Pradit Wanarat, National Institution Development and Administration, Associate Professor Dr. Ajcharawan Ngarmyarn, Thammasat University, Assistant Professor Dr. Thanawan Seangsuwan, Chulalongkorn University, for kindly reviewing and giving valuable comments throughout my study.

I would like to especially thank Emeritus Professor Dr. Nongluck Viratchai, Chulalongkorn University for her full support and guidance in data analyses for both Hierarchical Stepwise Regression Analysis and Structure Equation Model, and without whom I never would have completed LISREL as a part of my study. Her encouragement and professional advices have contributed significantly to the completion of the study.

In addition, I would like to special thanks the secretariat and director (Associate Professor Dr. Pornchai Chunchinda), the committee members (Associate Professor Dr. Ananchai Kongchan, Dr. Nittya Wongthada, Assistant Professor Dr. Ekachid Chungcharoen, Assistant Professor Dr. Sunti Tirapat, Associate Professor Dr.

Pradit Wanarat), manager (Khun Kanokporn Banrengsri), and all administrators (Khun Sunyarak Niyomrit and Khun Thanakorn Yaprom) of the JDBA program for all their support.

My sincere thanks and appreciation to those anonymous executives who have contributed plenty of valuable information and time for indept interviews. My special thanks to those executives from 520 food manufacturers who participated by completed and returned survey questionnaires.

A special thanks is extended to my data collection teams: Miss Kanokporn Jiamjanyong and her colleagues, King Mongkut's Institution of Technology Lardkabang, Miss Sasithorn Buranasasikarn, Thammasat University, Miss Pattama Buanak and her colleagues, Ramkamheang University, Miss Varaporn Wannasoot and her colleagues, Citibank Telemarketing, for their good cooperation and hard working through the difficult process of data collections until I reach the target samples within limited time. They put forth a tremendous effort on my behalf.

A special appreciation goes to Ms. Somluck Thienpoj for her support and encouragement, and Mr. Kasem Hanaroonsomboon who edited the earlier version of this work.

My sincere thanks go to my mother Mrs. Sirinil Viravan, brother Mr. Vanvisut Viravan, beloved Mr. David Truong, other family members, and friends, for their encouragement, love, and warmed. Their remarkable belief in my study, understanding, and guidance are deeply respected and appreciated.

Table of Contents

	Page
Abstract	i
Acknowledgement	v
Table of Contents	vii
List of Appendix	ix
List of Tables	x
List of Figures	xiii
Chapter	Page
1 Introduction	1
1.1 The Importance of Channel Strategy	1
1.2 Research Issues and Objectives	2
1.3 Scope of the Study.....	7
1.4 Contributions of the Study	9
1.5 Organization of the Study.....	11
2 Literature Review	13
2.1 Distribution Channel Strategy	13
2.2 Distribution Channel Selection	20
2.3 Distribution Channel Management	49
2.4 Distribution Channel Performance	57
2.5 Distribution Channel Strategy and Firm’s Success	62
2.6 Theory Used in Distribution Channel Strategy	64
3 Model and Hypotheses Development	73
3.1 The Proposed Model	73
3.2 Construct Definition and Operationalization.....	74
3.3 Hypotheses Development	77
3.3.1 Distribution Channel Selection.....	77
3.3.2 Distribution Channel Management.....	82
3.3.3 Channel Performance and Firm’s Success.....	86

4	Research Methodology	92
4.1	Research Design	92
4.2	Research Method	93

Table of Contents (Cont')

Chapter		Page
4	Research Methodology (Cont')	
	4.2.1 Scope of the Study	93
	4.2.2 Source of Data	98
	4.2.3 Measurement and Questionnaires Development	99
	4.2.4 Sample Frame	114
	4.2.5 Sample Size and Sampling Procedure	114
	4.2.6 Data Collection: Method and Plan	115
	4.2.7 Data Analysis: Techniques and Criteria.....	117
5	Data Analysis and Results	121
	5.1 Data Preparation	122
	5.2 Measurement of Reliability and Validity	124
	5.3 Respondent Demographic Profile	132
	5.4 Subgrouping of Large Firms and Small Firms	133
	5.5 Model Estimation by Hierarchical Stepwise Regression Analyses....	134
	5.5.1 Analysis of Overall industry (All Firms).....	135
	5.5.2 Subgroup Analysis of Large Firms	154
	5.5.3 Subgroup Analysis of Small Firms.....	168
	5.6 Further Analysis by Structure Equation Model (SEM).....	184
	5.6.1 Measurement Model	184
	5.6.2 Structure Equation Model.....	190
	5.6.3 Respecification Model.....	193
6	Conclusions and Recommendations	197
	6.1 Conclusions	197
	6.1.1 Objectives of the Study	197
	6.1.2 Interesting Findings.....	200
	6.2 Contributions of the Study.....	211
	6.2.1 Theoretical and Modeling Contributions.....	211
	6.2.2 Methodological Contributions.....	215

6.3	Implications of the Results of the study	217
6.3.1	Managerial Implications.....	217
6.3.2	Public Policy Implications.....	222
6.4	Limitations and Suggestions for Future Research	224

Table of Contents (Cont')

	Page
References.....	228

List of Appendix

Appendix

1 Questionnaires.....	250
-----------------------	-----

List of Tables

Table	Page
2.1 Relevant Factors Influencing Firm’s Channel Selections	29
2.2 The Advantage and Disadvantage of Distribution Intensity.....	32
2.3 Comparison of Location Characteristics between Previous Studies and This study	41
2.4 Comparison of Channel Control Between Previous Study and This Study	45
2.5 Comparison of Channel Risk Between Previous Studies and This Study....	48
2.6 The Assessment of Distribution Channel Service Quality (DCSQ) Compared to Previous Measures.....	54
2.7 Comparison of Distribution Channel Service Quality between Previous Studies and This Study.....	57
2.8 Seven Behavioral Dimensions Measuring Channel Performance: A Micro View Point by Kumar, Stern, and Achrol (1992).....	60
2.9 Comparison of Channel Performance between Previous Studies and This Study.....	61
2.10 Examples of TCA Variables Used to Explain the Determinants of Distribution Strategy in Previous Studies.....	67
2.11 Comparison of Transaction Cost Analysis and Channel Strategy Between Previous Studies and This Study.....	72
3.1 Definition and Operationalization of Dependent Variables.....	74
3.2 Definition and Operationalization of Independent Variables.....	75
3.3 Definition and Operationalization of Mediator Variables.....	76
3.4 Summary of Hypothesis Statements.....	91
4.1 Number of Manufacturers Established Classified by Industrial Categories..	95
4.2 Number of Manufacturers Established Classified by Firm Size and Industrial Categories.....	96
4.3 In-depth Interview of Top Management Based on Performance Measures.....	102
4.4 Scale Used in the Study.....	109
4.5 Stratified Sampling Procedure for Small Firms.....	115
5.1 Summary of Variables.....	123
5.2 Results of Factor Analysis to Examine Unidimensionality	126
5.3 Principle Component Analysis by Varimax Rotation.....	127
5.4 Split-Half Reliability Analysis.....	130
5.5 The Food Manufacturers Classified by Capital Registered.....	132

5.6	The Food Manufacturers Classified by Year of Established.....	133
-----	---	-----

List of Tables (Cont')

Table	Page	
5.7	Correlations of Overall Industry.....	139
5.8	Results of Regression Analysis Between Channel Strategy and Channel Effectiveness of Overall Industry	142
5.9	Results of Regression Analysis Between Channel Strategy and Channel Efficiency of Overall Industry	143
5.10	Results of Regression Analysis Between Channel Strategy and Superior Effectiveness of Overall Industry	145
5.11	Results of Regression Analysis Between Channel Strategy and Superior Efficiency of Overall Industry	147
5.12	Results of Regression Analysis Between Channel Performance and Firm's Success (Internal Outcome) of Overall Industry	148
5.13	Results of Regression Analysis Between Channel Performance and Firm's Success (External Outcome) of Overall Industry	150
5.14	Mediator Test of Channel Performance on Firm's Success (Internal Outcome) of Overall Industry.....	152
5.15	Mediator Test of Channel Performance on Firm's Success (External Outcome) of Overall Industry.....	154
5.16	Correlations of Large Firms.....	157
5.17	Results of Regression Analysis Between Channel Strategy and Channel Effectiveness of Large Firms	159
5.18	Results of Regression Analysis Between Channel Strategy and Channel Efficiency of Large Firms	161
5.19	Results of Regression Analysis Between Channel Strategy and Superior Effectiveness of Large Firms	162
5.20	Results of Regression Analysis Between Channel Strategy and Superior Efficiency of Large Firms	164
5.21	Results of Regression Analysis Between Channel Performance and Internal Outcome of Large Firms	166
5.22	Results of Regression Analysis Between Channel Performance and External Outcome of Large Firms	167
5.23	Correlations of Small Firms.....	171
5.24	Results of Regression Analysis Between Channel Strategy and Channel Effectiveness of Small Firms	174

5.25	Results of Regression Analysis Between Channel Strategy and Channel Efficiency of Small Firms	175
------	---	-----

List of Tables (Cont')

Table	Page
5.26	Results of Regression Analysis Between Channel Strategy and Superior Effectiveness of Small Firms 177
5.27	Results of Regression Analysis Between Channel Strategy and Superior Efficiency of Small Firms 178
5.28	Results of Regression Analysis Between Channel Performance and Firm's Success (Internal Outcome) of Small Firms180
5.29	Results of Regression Analysis Between Channel Performance and Firm's Success (External Outcome) of Small Firms 182
5.30	Summary of Regression Analysis for Overall Industry and Subgroups (Large Firms, Small Firms).....183
5.31	Measurement Reliability of Second Order Factor..... 189
5.32	Structural Equations Estimates for Distribution Channel Strategy.....191
5.33	Structural Equations Estimates for Distribution Channel Strategy (Respecification Model).....194
5.34	Summary of The Proposed Model and The Respecification Model.....196
6.1	Summary of Hypothesis Testing.....205
6.2	Previous Study of Distribution Channel Strategy.....212
6.3	Distribution Channel Service Quality (DCSQ) in This Study Compared to Previous Studies.....214
6.4	Summary of Management Guidelines Based on the Results of this Study..... 221

Lists of Figures

Figure	Page
2.1 Distribution Channel Strategy Framework.....	19
2.2 Distribution Channel Structure.....	23
2.3 The Elements of Competitive Advantage.....	64
3.1 The Proposed Model of Distribution Channel Strategy.....	73
3.2 Channel Performance as a Position Superiority and Firm's Success.....	89
3.3 The Proposed Hypotheses of Distribution Channel Strategy.....	90
4.1 Research Methodology.....	92
4.2 Thai Food Exports in the Year of 1991 - 2001 (Million Baht).....	97
4.3 World Food Market Share in the Year of 2000.....	97
4.4 Thailand Food Trade in the Year of 1997-2001.....	98
5.1 Steps in Data Analysis and Results.....	121
5.2 The Food Manufacturers Classified by Types of Product.....	132
5.3 Results of Confirmatory Factor Analysis on Distribution Channel Selection.....	186
5.4 Results of Confirmatory Factor Analysis on Distribution Channel Management	187
5.5 Results of Confirmatory Factor Analysis on Channel Performance	187
5.6 Results of Confirmatory Factor Analysis on Superior Channel Performance	188
5.7 Results of Confirmatory Factor Analysis on Firm's Success.....	189
5.8 Results of Structure Equation Model.....	192
5.9 Results of Structure Equation Model (Respecification Model).....	194
6.1 Conclusions and Recommendations.....	197
6.2 Distribution Channel Strategy (The Proposed Model).....	208
6.3 Distribution Channel Strategy (The Respecification Model).....	210